

3 \*  
4 SIOUX RURAL WATER SYSTEM, INC., \* 1:15-CV-01023-CBK  
A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION, \*

5 Plaintiff,

6 vs.

7 CITY OF WATERTOWN, A SOUTH  
8 DAKOTA MUNICIPALITY; AND  
9 WATERTOWN MUNICIPAL UTILITIES,  
AN AGENCY OF THE CITY OF  
WATERTOWN,

10 Defendants.

11

12

## 13 DEPOSITION

14 O F

15 HEATH THOMPSON

16 February 17, 2016

17 9:35 o'clock, a.m.

18

19

20

21 Taken at:  
22 Offices of Watertown Municipal Utilities  
901 Fourth Avenue SW  
Watertown, South Dakota

24 Reporter: Tammy Stolle RPR

25

7

1 A. **Or sorry, no.**  
 2 Q. Okay. What is your educational background?  
 3 A. **A high school graduate from Howard High.**  
 4 Q. What year?  
 5 A. **1989. A year and a half at DSU, a year at**  
 6 **Morningside College in Sioux City.**  
 7 Q. Any degrees?  
 8 A. **Went back later on online, finished a two-year**  
 9 **associate of arts in business.**  
 10 Q. From which school?  
 11 A. **XE University which is a subsidiary of Phoenix**  
 12 **university -- University of Phoenix.**  
 13 Q. Okay. And what was that -- what was the major?  
 14 A. **It's associate in arts in business. It was a**  
 15 **business -- two-year associate of business degree.**  
 16 Q. Two-year business degree?  
 17 A. **Correct.**  
 18 Q. All right. Tell me about how you came to be the  
 19 general manager at Sioux. Did you apply for the job?  
 20 A. **Yes.**  
 21 Q. How did you find out about it?  
 22 A. **It was listed in newspapers and I believe online**  
 23 **also.**  
 24 Q. Okay. So you weren't -- the reason I ask that is  
 25 being at Kingbrook right next-door, I thought maybe you might

9

1 A. **Yes, I do.**  
 2 Q. And then beneath that there is an area depicted  
 3 in brown with the word "Kingbrook" depicted on it?  
 4 A. **Yes.**  
 5 Q. Do those areas as depicted to your knowledge  
 6 generally reflect the service territories of those two rural  
 7 water providers?  
 8 A. **I believe it's a picture of a general idea of**  
 9 **where things are at.**  
 10 Q. Obviously this map is pretty small. It would be  
 11 -- you would need something blown up to see the actual  
 12 boundaries with any degree of accuracy, is that fair?  
 13 I'm trying to qualify your answer for you to say  
 14 that these are generally the boundaries that represent the  
 15 service areas to your knowledge?  
 16 A. **I think it's a picture to give you a general idea**  
 17 **of where the systems lie in the state.**  
 18 Q. Well, and in your opinion at least as to Sioux  
 19 and Kingbrook, does that accurately reflect a general idea of  
 20 where their service territories run?  
 21 A. **It's a general idea of where they lay in**  
 22 **correlation with each other, yes.**  
 23 Q. Okay. Well, and does it generally reflect the  
 24 actual service territories claimed by those two entities to  
 25 your knowledge?

8

1 have been approached by a board member or somebody to --  
 2 A. **No.**  
 3 Q. Okay. Prior to becoming the general manager at  
 4 Sioux, did you have any interaction with the Sioux Rural  
 5 Water System or its employees?  
 6 A. **No.**  
 7 Q. So while you were at Kingbrook, there was no back  
 8 and forth between Sioux and Kingbrook on anything?  
 9 A. **Not with me.**  
 10 Q. Okay. Who would have been the person at  
 11 Kingbrook that would have had that type of back and forth?  
 12 A. **Randy Jencks and Jolene King for a short period**  
 13 **of time.**  
 14 Q. Who are those individuals?  
 15 A. **Randy Jencks is general manager of Kingbrook**  
 16 **Rural Water, and Jolene King is the office manager.**  
 17 Q. We had produced as part of the production a  
 18 document marked DEF 396, and I'll tell you where this came  
 19 from. This came from the South Dakota Association of Rural  
 20 Water Systems. It's off of their website. Is this a map  
 21 that you've seen before?  
 22 A. **Yes.**  
 23 Q. Do you know -- when I look at this map, it shows  
 24 Sioux -- it shows a territory depicted in red with the word  
 25 "Sioux" in the middle of it. Do you see that?

10

1 A. **Like you said before, it's pretty ambiguous, but**  
 2 **it's a close idea on a map where those systems are.**  
 3 Q. Okay. I mean, in looking at this, knowing what  
 4 you know about those two systems, do you look at it and say,  
 5 "Well, that's just wrong because, you know, Sioux's territory  
 6 goes over here or doesn't go there," anything of that nature?  
 7 A. **No.**  
 8 Q. Okay. Do you know where the information came  
 9 from that the South Dakota Association of Rural Water Systems  
 10 used to put this map together?  
 11 A. **Not specifically, no.**  
 12 Q. Well, generally do you know where it came from?  
 13 A. **I would assume base maps.**  
 14 Q. Okay. When you say a base map, what is that?  
 15 A. **A map of the piping of the rural water systems.**  
 16 Q. All right. You're familiar with the various  
 17 forms that rural water systems can take in terms of how  
 18 they're organized?  
 19 A. **Generally, yes.**  
 20 Q. Okay. What is your familiarity, or what are you  
 21 aware of, the different types?  
 22 A. **Well, in Sioux's behalf, we're a 501(c)(12) not**  
 23 **for profit corporation governed by a board of directors.**  
 24 **Kingbrook was the same way.**  
 25 Q. Right. What are the other types of organizations



15

1 Q. DGR is the engineering firm that Sioux at least  
2 uses at this time to update its base map?

3 A. **Uses.**

4 Q. Right. And to your knowledge, has DGR been the  
5 entity that Sioux has used since its inception?

6 A. **Yes, I believe so.**

7 Q. Okay. Tell me why would it be that DGR would  
8 have knowledge of the history of the development of the Sioux  
9 system? What does DGR do for Sioux?

10 A. **They are our -- they're our engineer.**

11 Q. Right.

12 A. **From -- I'll give you an example if I may?**

13 Q. You bet.

14 A. **Our current 2015 piping improvement project which  
15 we have is a composite of information they have and basically  
16 outlines the improvements that we're going to make to the  
17 system.**

18 Q. When DGR does engineering work for Sioux --  
19 here's what I'm asking. Obviously part of what they do would  
20 be what I would call CAD based work to update or create maps  
21 of the kind we see here in Exhibit 1, correct?

22 A. **Correct.**

23 Q. In addition, do they also do engineering work  
24 that relates to the laying and the locating of the lines?

25 A. **Yes.**

17

1 Town of Castlewood, it's right to the west of the Town of  
2 Castlewood on the map?

3 A. **Correct.**

4 Q. And has that always been the location of the  
5 treatment plant?

6 A. **No.**

7 Q. Where was the treatment plant located before it  
8 was located in Castlewood?

9 A. **Originally the Sioux treatment plant which is  
10 still in use.**

11 Q. Which is where?

12 A. **Right here.**

13 Q. You're pointing to a spot south of the City of  
14 Watertown. Was that the original treatment plant for Sioux?

15 A. **One of them.**

16 Q. Where was the other original treatment plant?

17 A. **Hayti.**

18 Q. And you're pointing to a spot down here just  
19 north of the Town of Hayti?

20 A. **Correct.**

21 Q. And has -- is all of the water that's supplied by  
22 Sioux run through a treatment plant at some point owned by  
23 Sioux?

24 A. **Currently.**

25 Q. Has that always been the case, to your knowledge?

16

1 Q. So if that's the case, then if DGR has an  
2 adequate archive of the work they've done for Sioux, we could  
3 go back and we could see when -- basically whenever Sioux  
4 added to its system, DGR would have plans that would show  
5 those additions and when they occurred and what was done?

6 A. **I believe so.**

7 Q. Assuming that they've got that information  
8 archived?

9 A. **Correct.**

10 Q. Does Sioux keep that information archived?

11 A. **There are some of it there, yes. I mean, I won't  
12 tell you that every piece is there.**

13 Q. Sure. Here's what I'd like to know then. Based  
14 upon the knowledge that you do have about the history of the  
15 Sioux system, where did the Sioux system get its start to  
16 your knowledge?

17 A. **In this general area. I mean, as far as exactly,  
18 I can't --**

19 Q. Okay. Well, do you have any idea where the first  
20 -- let's do it this way. Where does the water come from that  
21 supplies Sioux's network?

22 A. **Currently it comes from the Castlewood treatment  
23 plant.**

24 Q. All right. And you've pointed to a spot with the  
25 word "Castlewood." Is that the -- it's right next to the

18

1 A. **It gets into the definition of treatment plant.**

2 **It's always been treated. I mean, you have chlorination.**

3 **You have --**

4 Q. Right. My question is this. I'm trying to do  
5 this at about a second grade level because that's about my  
6 level of understanding of water treatment and distribution.

7 If I go back in time to when Sioux was created,  
8 and when was that to your knowledge?

9 A. **1974.**

10 Q. So if I go back to 1974, when --

11 A. **It's --**

12 Q. Roughly. If I go back to 1974 when Sioux was  
13 originated and I got in the pickup with the then manager of  
14 Sioux and told him, "Go show me what assets Sioux has in  
15 terms of facilities, etc.," to your knowledge where would he  
16 have taken me and what would he have shown me?

17 MR. COLE: Object to form.

18 Q. (BY MR. HIEB) Well, go ahead, to your knowledge.

19 MR. HIEB: What's the -- I can rephrase.

20 MR. COLE: Speculation and conjecture.

21 Q. (BY MR. HIEB) And I said to your knowledge. I'm  
22 not assuming -- I'm not asking you a leading question. I'm  
23 not assuming you know anything. I want to know what you do  
24 know, okay?

25 So to your knowledge, based on your knowledge of

59

1 A. I believe so, yes.  
 2 Q. Okay. I found what appears to be the entire file  
 3 for the Boerger farm --  
 4 A. Okay.  
 5 Q. -- In the production. And, Jeff, you can jump  
 6 in. Was the entire file for Lew's produced also?  
 7 MR. COLE: I believe so. Anything that we  
 8 received on that would have been produced to you. I can go  
 9 back and look and see if there's anything else. I certainly  
 10 don't think there is.  
 11 Q. (BY MR. HIEB) Okay. What's in a disconnect  
 12 file?  
 13 A. Well, there would be the, you know, initial  
 14 paperwork down to the, in this case, when the meter was read  
 15 out and the final bill was sent, and like that receipt that  
 16 you showed me --  
 17 Q. Yep.  
 18 A. -- was probably in there.  
 19 Q. Okay. Well, here's what I'm going to show you.  
 20 There are documents marked Sioux 122 through Sioux 127.  
 21 Would these be the documents that are likely from the  
 22 disconnect file for Lew's Fireworks?  
 23 A. I believe this is for sure. This would be.  
 24 Q. Look them over for now and then I'll go through  
 25 them one at a time.

61

1 A. That looks right.  
 2 Q. Sioux 125 is a -- well, what is Sioux 125?  
 3 A. That looks like the charges to Lew's Fireworks to  
 4 hook up.  
 5 Q. Based --  
 6 A. As far as inventory goes, parts.  
 7 Q. Right.  
 8 A. And a membership fee and then --  
 9 Q. Sure.  
 10 A. -- some digging and labor technician.  
 11 Q. Basically 125 appears to me to be a laundry list  
 12 of the costs of the infrastructure associated with Lew's that  
 13 Sioux had into the deal, is that --  
 14 A. I'm assuming it's a service line that would have  
 15 went to the place.  
 16 Q. Which is what Sioux was invoicing Watertown for  
 17 in Sioux 122, right?  
 18 A. Okay.  
 19 Q. Does that look right to you?  
 20 A. It's -- yes, that sounds right.  
 21 Q. Okay. And then Sioux 126 appears to be a  
 22 document in which someone from Sioux calculated five years of  
 23 income on the Lew's Fireworks account, correct?  
 24 A. A couple years.  
 25 Q. Well, if you look at the very bottom, what does

60

1 A. I would think so, yes.  
 2 Q. Okay.  
 3 A. I mean, I --  
 4 Q. I'll represent to you that --  
 5 A. I don't know about -- but more than likely.  
 6 Q. Right.  
 7 A. I'd have to look.  
 8 Q. Sure. I'll represent to you that documents  
 9 marked Sioux 122 through Sioux 127 were all produced from  
 10 Sioux's files.  
 11 A. Okay.  
 12 Q. And they all appear to relate to Lew's Fireworks.  
 13 Would you agree with that?  
 14 A. Yes.  
 15 Q. And it appears to me that Sioux invoiced the City  
 16 of Watertown as you can see on Sioux 122, correct?  
 17 A. Yes.  
 18 Q. That the City of Watertown paid the amount  
 19 invoiced to Sioux as you can see on Sioux 123?  
 20 A. Yes.  
 21 Q. It looks like Sioux 124 is just a tape from an  
 22 adding machine or a calculator with some handwriting on it  
 23 that calculates the 30-year depreciation amount on the  
 24 infrastructure Sioux had associated with Lew's. Does that  
 25 look right?

62

1 it say there?  
 2 A. Oh, they took a composite. Okay.  
 3 Q. Does that look right?  
 4 A. Yes.  
 5 Q. All right. Which is part of the calculation that  
 6 went into the amount invoiced here on Sioux 122, right?  
 7 A. Yes.  
 8 Q. If you look at the second line there.  
 9 A. Yes, I see this and that.  
 10 Q. Okay. So what it looks like to me is that if I  
 11 went to the file at Sioux for Lew's Fireworks that these came  
 12 out of, you've got the invoice that Sioux sent to the city,  
 13 which is Sioux 122, and then the documents behind it, Sioux  
 14 123 through 127, are sort of the homework that proves --  
 15 A. Yes.  
 16 Q. -- the amount on the invoice, is that fair?  
 17 A. Fair.  
 18 Q. All right. Do you have any knowledge or  
 19 understanding about why it is that Lew's Fireworks wanted to  
 20 be annexed into the City of Watertown and/or receive water  
 21 service from the City of Watertown?  
 22 A. My general understanding was it was for fire  
 23 protection.  
 24 Q. And was that something that Sioux was capable of  
 25 providing to Lew's?

63

1     A.    **We don't provide fire protection.**  
 2     Q.    All right. So -- well, so in other words, you  
 3    weren't willing to provide Lew's with the fire protection  
 4    they claimed they wanted or needed?  
 5     A.    **I don't know about that time, but I mean, if you**  
 6    **were talking about present day today and you came to me and**  
 7    **asked me for fire protection, I would say, "No, we don't have**  
 8    **fire protection."**  
 9     Q.    All right. Any reason to believe that things  
 10    were any different back when Lew's was looking for fire  
 11    protection?  
 12     A.    **I would have no reason to believe that, no.**  
 13     Q.    All right. So if Lew's came to Sioux right now  
 14    hypothetically and said, "I want you to provide me fire  
 15    protection at this building and I want to hook up to Sioux,"  
 16    your response as the manager would be?  
 17     A.    **We don't provide fire protection.**  
 18     Q.    **"I'm not going to do that for you?"**  
 19     A.    **Sioux Rural Water does not provide fire**  
 20    **protection.**  
 21     Q.    All right. The second account on Sioux 121 that  
 22    you've got listed there is a previous Sioux account now on  
 23    Watertown utilities is CHS elevator. Do you see that?  
 24     A.    **Yes, I do.**  
 25     Q.    Are you familiar with the CHS elevator plant or

65

1     **question, they said, well, they dug them in and then the guy**  
 2    **came back and said no, I can't be hooked to you guys, so**  
 3    **whatever, and I don't know what it says there, I don't**  
 4    **remember that verbatim.**  
 5     Q.    Okay. If you look at Exhibit 1, can you just  
 6    identify for me where the CHS plant is to the best of your  
 7    knowledge on this exhibit?  
 8     A.    **It might take me a minute. Now I wish I would**  
 9    **have brought my readers.**  
 10     Q.    See if these help. (Handing.)  
 11     A.    **Actually they do.**  
 12     Q.    Yeah, it's not the first time I've done that.  
 13     A.    **In this area right here. (Indicating.)**  
 14     Q.    Okay. Give those back because now I need them.  
 15     A.    **(Handing.)**  
 16     Q.    There's a little yellow circle with a 12 in the  
 17    middle of it --  
 18     A.    **Yep.**  
 19     Q.    -- on Exhibit No. 1, and do you know what that  
 20    represents, that 12?  
 21     A.    **It's a section number.**  
 22     Q.    Okay.  
 23     A.    **I believe. Yes.**  
 24     Q.    There you go. So Township 116 north, range 53  
 25    west in Section 12?

64

1    fertilizer plant?  
 2     A.    **From a drive by sense.**  
 3     Q.    Okay. Were you involved in Sioux's operations at  
 4    the time that Sioux had hooked up the CHS plant?  
 5     A.    **No.**  
 6     Q.    There's been testimony, and I think it would be  
 7    borne out by the records, that the CHS fertilizer plant is an  
 8    entity that exists within the city limits of Watertown. Do  
 9    you have any reason to disagree with that?  
 10     A.    **No.**  
 11     Q.    And do you know what kind of hookup was provided  
 12    to CHS by Sioux?  
 13     A.    **I'm assuming it was a standard meter pit,**  
 14    **three-quarter inch meter, inch and a half service line.**  
 15     Q.    Okay. Do you have any knowledge of what went on  
 16    between Sioux and the City of Watertown that resulted in  
 17    Sioux discontinuing that service and the City of Watertown  
 18    picking up that service?  
 19     A.    **No.**  
 20     Q.    On exhibit --  
 21     A.    **Well --**  
 22     Q.    Go ahead.  
 23     A.    **Yesterday's depositions. Just --**  
 24     Q.    Okay. What you heard yesterday?  
 25     A.    **Correct. You know, and when I asked the**

66

1     **Northeast.**  
 2     Q.    Up in the northeast corner of that section is  
 3    roughly where that CHS plant is located?  
 4     A.    **Correct.**  
 5     Q.    And do you know whether at the time Sioux hooked  
 6    up the CHS plant, the CHS plant was already within the city  
 7    limits of the City of Watertown?  
 8     A.    **I don't know.**  
 9     Q.    Okay. Your current policy at Sioux, is your  
 10    current policy at Sioux to hook up anyone within the city  
 11    limits of Watertown?  
 12     A.    **I'm unaware of a policy that says I couldn't.**  
 13     Q.    Okay. And I'm not asking about written policies  
 14    necessarily, but I'm going to give you a hypothetical. If I  
 15    owned a house some place within the city limits of Watertown  
 16    and I decided I wanted Sioux's water service instead of the  
 17    city's water service, and I called you up and said, "Can you  
 18    hook me up," and you had a line that was across the street  
 19    from me, would that be something you would do?  
 20     A.    **I would entertain it.**  
 21     Q.    Okay. Would you have to talk to somebody, or  
 22    would it just be your decision as the manager?  
 23     A.    **I believe that would fall under my decision.**  
 24     Q.    Okay.  
 25     A.    **My discretion.**

1 Q. What factors would factor into your entertainment  
2 of doing that?  
3 A. I would have them fill out the paperwork that we  
4 submitted through DGR, our engineering model, see if their --  
5 a capacity existed and what it would take.  
6 Q. Okay.  
7 A. So that they have an idea what it would cost them  
8 to hook up.  
9 Q. Okay. And in this case, do you know whether --  
10 do you know what kind of water needs the CHS plant had?  
11 A. No.  
12 Q. Do you know what kind of water usage the CHS  
13 plant runs?  
14 A. No.  
15 Q. The CHS transaction essentially occurred under  
16 your predecessor's --  
17 A. Correct.  
18 Q. -- watch?  
19 All right. The third one on there is the Tim and  
20 Darrell Boerger, now Titan Machinery, account?  
21 A. Correct.  
22 Q. Once again, are you familiar with how that hookup  
23 went from being a Sioux hookup to being a Municipal  
24 Utilities' hookup?  
25 A. When I first came, it was all in March of '13,

1 that's how you have to read them in order to read this e-mail  
2 chain between Todd Boyd and Stan Fox.  
3 A. Okay.  
4 Q. I'd like you to review those e-mails and then  
5 I'll have some questions for you.  
6 A. (Witness reviewing documents.) Backwards and  
7 bottom to top, correct?  
8 Q. Yeah, if you're going to read them  
9 chronologically.  
10 A. (Witness reviewing documents.)  
11 Q. Have you had a chance to review Sioux 546 through  
12 551?  
13 A. Yes.  
14 Q. And are these e-mails that you've seen prior to  
15 today?  
16 A. Yes.  
17 Q. It appears to me that the way that Titan  
18 Machinery/Boerger farm account discussions occurred was that  
19 you on behalf of Sioux had a conversation with Jeff DeVille  
20 on behalf of Watertown Municipal Utilities about who had the  
21 right to serve the Boerger farm which was now going to be the  
22 Titan building, correct?  
23 A. Correct.  
24 Q. And I think you indicated previously that you  
25 decided that's a better discussion that should be had between

1 they were building the Titan Machinery program there, and  
2 there were a lot of things going on at the time at Sioux, of  
3 course you can imagine.  
4 Q. Yeah.  
5 A. But the question had been posed who's serving  
6 that because we had a line down to the Boerger farm. We did  
7 stop out. We were doing some locates, some of the  
8 contractors there. I did pose that question. I believe  
9 eventually I ended up visiting with Jeff about that, Jeff  
10 DeVille about that.  
11 Q. Okay.  
12 A. That hookup.  
13 Q. And what did that conversation entail?  
14 A. Just that, you know, that Watertown had -- was  
15 planning on serving that is best of my recollection. It was  
16 a phone conversation. I said, well, I'm not so sure that  
17 Sioux doesn't, you know, have the right to serve that area.  
18 We talked back and forth, and briefly, you know, it wasn't  
19 very detailed and that, you know, that's a matter probably  
20 for attorneys to decide.  
21 Q. And as I understand it then, the attorneys got  
22 involved, is that fair?  
23 A. That's fair.  
24 Q. I'm going to show you what have been marked Sioux  
25 551 through Sioux 546, and I know that's going backwards, but

1 the attorneys, right?  
2 A. I believe Jeff had made that comment, and I said  
3 yeah, fine, that's what they're there for.  
4 Q. And then it looks like that discussion was had at  
5 least in part through a series of e-mails which are marked  
6 Sioux 546 through 551 between Stan Fox and Todd Boyd. Would  
7 you agree with that?  
8 A. Um-huh. Yes. Sorry.  
9 Q. Okay. Which culminates with an e-mail from Todd  
10 Boyd to Stan Fox on Sioux 546 which states, "Sioux Rural  
11 Water makes no claim for the water service to the new Titan  
12 building." Would you agree with that?  
13 A. That's what the -- yes.  
14 Q. Well, did Mr. Boyd make that representation  
15 without the authority of Sioux?  
16 A. I don't believe so. I mean, chronologically it's  
17 a whole different kettle of fish.  
18 Q. Well, you would agree with me that Mr. Boyd was  
19 representing Sioux --  
20 A. Yes.  
21 Q. -- at that time?  
22 A. Yes.  
23 Q. And he was representing Sioux with respect to  
24 this issue in his discussions with Mr. Fox?  
25 A. Yes.

1       Q.     And it looks like from the e-mail sent on Sioux  
 2     547, it indicates that Mr. Boyd was meeting with -- or going  
 3     to be meeting with the board or talking to the board about  
 4     this issue to find out what the board's thoughts were,  
 5     correct?

6       A.     Yes.

7       Q.     And did he do that?

8       A.     Yes.

9       Q.     There are minutes marked Sioux 2391 through Sioux  
 10    2394. I'll just show you the last page which is Sioux 2394  
 11    and if you would just read the last bullet point.

12      A.     It says, "Attorney Boyd explained the current  
 13    status of the water service to a site located along the east  
 14    border of Watertown for the new Titan machine building. The  
 15    system is unable to make any claim for compensation under  
 16    either state or federal law."

17      Q.     Okay. And was that the information that Attorney  
 18    Boyd gave to the board at that meeting?

19      A.     They were -- there's more information that I  
 20    don't believe is contained in those minutes. I think there  
 21    are other phone conversations that were held that aren't  
 22    represented in the e-mails too.

23      Q.     Okay. But at the end of the day, Mr. Boyd in  
 24    representing Sioux sent an e-mail to Stan Fox who represented  
 25    Municipal Utilities saying Sioux's not going to make any

1       which Titan is running out there, what parts of their water  
 2     needs do you believe you would be unlikely to be able to  
 3     provide?

4       A.     I don't know if they have fire protection, I've  
 5     never been in the building, that would be one. Two, they  
 6     have a truck wash, I don't know what the requirements of that  
 7     are. And three, I don't know the general layout. I mean, if  
 8     they've got bathrooms, showers, sinks, hot tubs, I don't know  
 9     what they have.

10      Q.     Okay. Back to Sioux 121 which is this list that  
 11    either you prepared or provided --

12      A.     Yes.

13      Q.     -- the information to have prepared.

14      A.     And I very well may have. It's just been --

15      Q.     Yeah, I get it.

16      A.     So...

17      Q.     The rest of the list is included under a heading  
 18    entitled Kittelson's addition accounts on Sioux Rural Water?

19      A.     Yes.

20      Q.     Why did you list those on here?

21      A.     It goes back to the Alice Madline hookup which we  
 22    -- was talked about yesterday also. When we had initially  
 23    sent in the list, the three-mile list --

24      Q.     Yep.

25      A.     -- for approval by the MU, is that what it is?

1       claim to this building, right?

2       A.     Yes.

3       Q.     And --

4       A.     In the print, yes.

5       Q.     Right. Well, were there any --

6       A.     No. Yes.

7       Q.     Okay. Well, to me that -- from looking at  
 8     things, that was pretty much the end of the story with  
 9     respect to Titan. Is that fair?

10      A.     Yes.

11      Q.     Do you have any knowledge of what Titan's needs  
 12    are for water?

13      A.     No, they never approached us.

14      Q.     Okay. Do you have any knowledge, as you sit here  
 15    today knowing what you know about the Titan operation, do you  
 16    believe that Sioux has sufficient capacity to supply Titan  
 17    with its needs?

18      A.     Not all of their needs, no.

19      Q.     So in order for Sioux to supply Titan, Sioux  
 20    would have to supply part of it and then Titan would have to  
 21    get the rest of its water some place else?

22      A.     It's my assumption based on the fact I don't know  
 23    what their needs are.

24      Q.     All right. And I appreciate that. But knowing  
 25    what you know about a commercial operation, the nature of

1       Q.     Yeah, that's fine.

2       A.     That one came back with a red flag due to the  
 3     fact that there was a group of individuals that had  
 4     approached the city about being annexed into the City of  
 5     Watertown, and it became a little bit problematic for me at  
 6     that point in time that we had an entire addition that may be  
 7     annexed into the City of Watertown, and if the same process  
 8     that happened at Titan Machinery was applied to that, now  
 9     we're not talking about a single hookup, but an actual entire  
 10    addition and --

11      Q.     Would you agree with me that as things currently  
 12    sit, Watertown Municipal Utilities does not supply water to  
 13    anyone within Kittelson's addition to your knowledge?

14      A.     That's correct.

15      Q.     Okay. And so --

16      A.     And at the end of the day that e-mail showed --

17    not to talk over you.

18      Q.     Go ahead.

19      A.     But yes.

20      Q.     The e-mail from Jeff that said go ahead and hook  
 21    up Alice Madline or whoever you want to in Kittelsons?

22      A.     Well, the time frame to get the annexation in  
 23    process and everything and not to hold her up, but there  
 24    again, the conundrum lies in hooking up another person who  
 25    may be gone from the mix next week, next year, six months

75

1 from now if that group of people decides to be annexed in.

2 Q. Right. So I guess to make sure I'm clear, when

3 we look at Sioux 121, was that an attempt on your part to

4 provide a somewhat complete list of the various accounts that

5 either used to be served by Watertown -- or used to be served

6 by Sioux and are now served by Watertown, plus some accounts

7 that you think might be in jeopardy?

8 A. What was the first --

9 Q. Well, the purpose of -- when you put together

10 Sioux 121, --

11 A. Yeah.

12 Q. -- how did you decide what information to put on

13 it?

14 A. It was a starting point.

15 Q. Well, where's the ending point?

16 A. I don't know.

17 Q. Well, what I'm here to do --

18 A. Let me --

19 Q. Go ahead.

20 A. My answer to that is, you know, when I look at

21 things like the five-year plan, ten-year plan, I don't know.

22 Q. I get that. As we sit here today though, in the

23 Complaint that's been filed against both the city and

24 Municipal Utilities, that's an allegation that the city and

25 Municipal Utilities have taken certain customers from Sioux.

77

1 upon your view of the comprehensive plan or of discussions

2 that people may have had regarding annexation, may not be

3 Sioux customers in the future if the City of Watertown

4 annexes them and starts to provide them with water. Okay, do

5 you understand that category?

6 A. Yes.

7 Q. And would that be basically what we see here on

8 Sioux 121 as the Kittelson addition?

9 A. In this Kittelson addition specifically, this

10 would be a number of people, had the annexation gone through,

11 that MU would have hooked up for their own customers, much

12 like the Boerger farm.

13 Q. Right. Well, which presupposes that those

14 individuals would have wanted to be hooked up to Municipal

15 Utilities, correct, in that hypothetical?

16 MR. COLE: Object to form, speculation and

17 conjecture.

18 (BY MR. HIEB) Do you understand what I'm talking

19 about? We talked about the first category of customers,

20 those which you believe were formerly Sioux customers which

21 are now WMU customers?

22 A. Right.

23 Q. Those were the first three on Sioux 121, correct?

24 A. Yes.

25 Q. The second group on Sioux 121 are those in the

76

1 Do you understand that allegation?

2 A. Yes, I do.

3 Q. And as I look at it, the three that you've

4 identified that have been taken to this point are the first

5 three listed on Sioux 121 to your knowledge?

6 A. They were previously served by Sioux, correct.

7 Q. Right. Is that fair?

8 A. Correct.

9 Q. Do you know of any others as you sit here today?

10 A. No. That were previous Sioux accounts, no.

11 Q. Correct. I'm talking about customers that were

12 Sioux customers --

13 A. Correct.

14 Q. -- and that are now --

15 A. MU customers.

16 Q. -- MU customers?

17 A. Correct.

18 Q. Right?

19 A. Yes.

20 Q. And so those three would be the only three that

21 you are aware of as you sit here today that fall under that

22 category?

23 A. Yes.

24 Q. The second category that I'll talk about are

25 customers that are currently Sioux customers, but that based

78

1 Kittelson addition which are not currently hooked up, nor

2 have they ever been hooked up to Watertown Municipal

3 Utilities' water, right?

4 A. Yes.

5 Q. But you put them on the list because there is a

6 concern based upon discussions or other information you've

7 seen that they may one day in the future become hooked up to

8 Watertown Municipal Utilities which will then involve them no

9 longer being Sioux customers, right?

10 A. Yes, they may want to.

11 Q. Right. So that's why they're on this list?

12 A. Um-huh.

13 Q. Correct? Yes?

14 A. Yes.

15 Q. Okay. And in that category, those people that

16 are not WMU customers, have never been WMU customers, but

17 there's some reason to believe they may in the near to

18 distant future become WMU customers, other than the Kittelson

19 addition accounts that we see on Sioux 121, are there any

20 other accounts that fit into that category to your knowledge

21 as you sit here today?

22 A. I could use the three-mile radius to argue that

23 everyone in that could be on that category.

24 Q. Fair enough. Okay. Because it's basically just

25 speculation as we sit here right now, correct, about what

1 falls into that category?  
 2 A. No, I mean it's -- I don't know how -- I know who  
 3 we have for customers now.  
 4 Q. Sure.  
 5 A. Okay. If they fall within that three-mile radius  
 6 and with the current practice and they voluntarily annex  
 7 themselves because I've heard that it's not been a forced  
 8 annexation.  
 9 Q. Right.  
 10 A. And then they decide that they wish to have MU  
 11 services, because I'm assuming MU is not going to give them  
 12 sewer, power, streets, curb and gutter and not water. It  
 13 will be the whole package or nothing. Is that --  
 14 Q. Well, I don't know if that's true. You heard,  
 15 you know, Jeff's testimony yesterday that the current  
 16 practice is not to force anyone to hook up to the water  
 17 system, even if they become a part of the city.  
 18 A. Okay.  
 19 Q. It's only if they want to --  
 20 A. Right.  
 21 Q. -- that they do that. So go ahead.  
 22 Here's my point. In that second category, you've  
 23 listed the Kittelson addition accounts that are on Sioux 121?  
 24 A. Um-huh.  
 25 Q. Have you sat down and put together any other

1 accounts that fit into this category, but you haven't really  
 2 sat down and listed them at this point, right?  
 3 A. I would believe anything in that three-mile  
 4 radius is open to that.  
 5 Q. Right. And --  
 6 A. Which is --  
 7 Q. -- there are more accounts than those in  
 8 Kittelson addition that are within that three-mile radius,  
 9 right?  
 10 A. Correct.  
 11 Q. So in order to complete the second part of the  
 12 list that we see on Sioux 121, under your theory or how you  
 13 look at this, we would have to list all of Sioux's accounts  
 14 that are within the three-mile radius to make it complete?  
 15 A. They -- well, yes.  
 16 Q. Okay. And then there is yet another category  
 17 that I don't have a list for that I can think of which would  
 18 be the category of accounts that were never hooked up by  
 19 Sioux but are now MU customers, but because of where they're  
 20 situated, they might have been Sioux customers based upon the  
 21 location of Sioux's pipes?  
 22 A. Yes.  
 23 Q. Do you understand that category?  
 24 A. Yes.  
 25 Q. Have you put together any kind of a list of those

1 lists that would list additional accounts falling within this  
 2 category?  
 3 A. Yes.  
 4 Q. Have those been provided to me? Either one of  
 5 can you answer.  
 6 MR. COLE: I don't know, Jack.  
 7 Q. (BY MR. HIEB) Okay. Have you provided them to  
 8 your attorney?  
 9 A. I believe so.  
 10 Q. Okay.  
 11 A. But as long as I'm talking about the same thing.  
 12 I'm --  
 13 Q. I'm talking about accounts that you are currently  
 14 serving that you have some reason to believe or fear may  
 15 become --  
 16 A. Oh, no.  
 17 Q. -- MU customers?  
 18 A. No. No.  
 19 Q. All right. So we can agree that the Kittelson  
 20 accounts that are listed on Sioux 121 all fall into that  
 21 category, right? That category of because of what I know, I  
 22 have reason to fear that these may become MU customers and  
 23 will no longer be Sioux customers, right?  
 24 A. Yes.  
 25 Q. And we can agree that there are potentially other

1 customers?  
 2 A. Yes.  
 3 Q. Has that been produced to me?  
 4 A. I don't know.  
 5 Q. Have you given that to your attorney?  
 6 A. Yes.  
 7 MR. COLE: He's given it to us, Jack. I don't  
 8 know if it's been produced to you or not. I know it's part  
 9 of the expert stuff that's being worked on.  
 10 MR. HIEB: Okay.  
 11 Q. (BY MR. HIEB) What did you do to compile that  
 12 list?  
 13 A. We took a look at where our existing lines lay  
 14 and used a distance from them, you know, a quarter mile, half  
 15 mile, whatever that may be to compile that list.  
 16 Q. Well, and that's going to be my first question.  
 17 What was the number, quarter mile, half mile? How did you --  
 18 A. Within a general distance. I --  
 19 Q. Were you part of the group or the -- did you  
 20 assist in putting together that list?  
 21 A. Yes.  
 22 Q. Do you remember what the distance was you used in  
 23 order to compile that list? And when I say the distance  
 24 used, I'm talking about the distance from your existing pipes  
 25 to these customers that were never Sioux customers but which

1 are now MU customers.  
 2 A. I would say that if that pipe's within a mile --  
 3 you know, in a section, okay.  
 4 Q. Within a mile?  
 5 A. Well, quarter mile, half mile, general. It  
 6 wasn't scientific.  
 7 Q. Well, how did you include or exclude if you  
 8 didn't have a specific distance that you were using from your  
 9 existing pipes?  
 10 A. Whatever seemed reasonable to the distance of  
 11 that pipe.  
 12 Q. How do you define reasonable?  
 13 A. Well, if it wasn't a quarter to a half mile --  
 14 quarter mile, maybe a half mile, in that general distance.  
 15 Q. And how did you come up with that number as a  
 16 basis?  
 17 A. It was a place to start.  
 18 Q. Okay. But I mean what we're talking about really  
 19 are customers that Sioux would claim they would have hooked  
 20 up had they had the opportunity to, correct?  
 21 A. Correct.  
 22 Q. And I think you told me previously that if I'm a  
 23 perspective customer of Sioux and I come to you wanting water  
 24 with my property located across the street from one of your  
 25 lines, the determination about whether you would hook me up

1 line.  
 2 Q. Okay. Any other factors?  
 3 A. To compile the list, no.  
 4 Q. Okay. But you would agree with me that in each  
 5 of those cases, one of the issues would be whether that  
 6 particular customer was willing to pay the charge that you  
 7 would charge them to hook to your system?  
 8 A. That would be one of the factors.  
 9 Q. Okay. A factor that really you couldn't control,  
 10 right?  
 11 A. No.  
 12 Q. With respect to any of those customers in that  
 13 category, was there ever any kind of a notice or alert sent  
 14 to Watertown Municipal Utilities or the City of Watertown  
 15 indicating that you believed they were unlawfully hooking up  
 16 a customer within your territory?  
 17 A. No. You're talking about the secondary list?  
 18 Q. I'm talking about --  
 19 A. Not these?  
 20 Q. Correct.  
 21 A. Okay.  
 22 Q. I'm talking about the third category.  
 23 A. Okay.  
 24 Q. Those being customers that were never Sioux  
 25 customers that are now WMU customers and that are on a list

1 would be in large part determined by the cost that your  
 2 engineers would give you for putting me on the line, right?  
 3 A. Right now if you were to come, you know, the cost  
 4 to hook to that line would be yours.  
 5 Q. Right. And so some of that -- whether or not  
 6 those individuals in that category, these customers that were  
 7 never Sioux customers but that Sioux is claiming to be within  
 8 their territory that were eventually hooked up by Municipal  
 9 Utilities, the determination about whether they would have  
 10 ever been a Sioux customer would in some cases be determined  
 11 on whether they were willing to pay the cost that you were  
 12 going to give them to get hooked onto your line, correct?  
 13 A. Yes, and I don't know what that was. I don't  
 14 know that we were given that option.  
 15 Q. That you were given that option?  
 16 A. I don't know that -- much like Titan Machinery, I  
 17 don't know that anybody came to Sioux and said, "This is  
 18 happening. You have pipes here. Is this something we can  
 19 do?"  
 20 Q. Right. In that category of businesses or  
 21 residences, these customers that are now MU customers that  
 22 you believe are within your territory, how did you decide  
 23 which ones to include as customers taken from you and which  
 24 ones not to include?  
 25 A. Based on their distance from our existing water

1 that you've put together of customers you believe have been  
 2 taken from your service territory. Are we on the same page?  
 3 A. Yes.  
 4 Q. With respect to that list and that category of  
 5 customers, was there ever any kind of a notice or alert sent  
 6 to either the City of Watertown or Watertown Municipal  
 7 Utilities by Sioux indicating that Sioux felt those customers  
 8 were being unlawfully connected?  
 9 A. No. Because they were already connected.  
 10 Q. Well, they were already connected when you  
 11 compiled the list?  
 12 A. Correct.  
 13 Q. But Sioux was in existence at the time they were  
 14 connected in each case?  
 15 A. Right.  
 16 Q. And you have, I'm assuming, searched the records  
 17 for Sioux for anything that's related to this litigation,  
 18 correct?  
 19 A. To the best of my ability, yes.  
 20 Q. And have you come across any correspondence with  
 21 anyone at Sioux that would indicate that they provided notice  
 22 to the city or to Watertown Municipal Utilities that they  
 23 believe those hookups were somehow illegal at the time they  
 24 were made?  
 25 A. No, I don't believe illegal would be the word. I

	91
1	guess, this third category of damages which are those
2	customers that are WMU customers that were never served by
3	Sioux but that Sioux believes were hooked up within Sioux's
4	territory. Do you follow me?
5	A. Um-huh.
6	Q. Yes?
7	A. Yes. I'm sorry.
8	Q. That's okay.
9	A. I'm sorry.
10	Q. The map I've got in front of you is the only map
11	that you've indicated really exists that would help us
12	determine where Sioux's territory is, correct?
13	A. It's our base map, yes, with our water lines, yes.
14	Q. Right. And I guess, I've got an orange
15	highlighter. Can you sort of draw what you believe Sioux's
16	territory to be on this map so I've got some idea?
17	A. It's difficult, and I can give you an explanation for that.
18	Q. Sure. Absolutely.
19	A. Let's say this -- the system exists based on the pipes we have in the ground today, okay?
20	Q. Okay.
21	A. It's not uncommon for a rural water system to do what's called an expansion program.

	93
1	A. We'll just -- I'm going to just rough shot these
2	in.
3	Q. Yes, exactly.
4	A. (Witness complying.) And I'll just, for
5	simplicity sake, put this one here.
6	Q. All right. So you've drawn four orange Xs on
7	Exhibit 2 which represent four hypothetical potential
8	customers that would come to Sioux and say, "We want to be
9	hooked up to your system," correct?
10	A. Okay.
11	Q. And my question for you now is, at that point in
12	time, as we sit here today, are those four Xs within your
13	service territory?
14	A. If I'm doing an expansion program, okay, I would
15	just come like this for this line.
16	Q. All right. Yeah, you've drawn a line now showing
17	what would be new lines in the ground, correct?
18	A. Correct.
19	Q. But prior to drawing that orange -- those orange
20	lines, are those four Xs within your service territory?
21	MR. COLE: Object to form. Calls for legal
22	conclusion.
23	Q. (BY MR. HIEB) You know, that's valid. Let me do
24	it this way. Based upon the analysis that you worked on with
25	your expert in this case, would those four Xs be within your

	92
1	Q. Okay.
2	A. And basically you would have signups for possibly
3	anybody within this area who's not hooked up to become part
4	of the system. Usually you incorporate it with system
5	improvements. That being said, I'll -- this is strictly for
6	an example. See this big gap in here where we see no lines?
7	Q. Yes.
8	A. Okay. Earlier when you had referred to the state
9	association's map with those crude, ambiguous --
10	Q. The document that was produced as DEF 396?
11	A. Correct. Within that, the way this puzzle is
12	pieced together, you can also see that there's gaps existing.
13	Q. Yeah.
14	A. So let's say, hypothetically, there's a person
15	over here that wants water or there's four people over here
16	that want water, can we incorporate them into an expansion
17	project? We would run a line from here to here and pick
18	those people up.
19	Q. All right. So do this for me and we'll walk
20	through this so I understand it. Use that hypothetical on
21	Exhibit 2 with that orange highlighter and put an X on the
22	four people that hypothetically would want water, put four Xs
23	in orange.
24	A. That could want water?
25	Q. Right. Right.

	94
1	service territory?
2	MR. COLE: Same objection. You can go ahead and
3	answer.
4	Q. (BY MR. HIEB) Go ahead.
5	A. I believe they would be, yes.
6	Q. And why?
7	A. Because we'll serve them water.
8	Q. Okay. So does your service territory include
9	anybody that you would agree to serve with water?
10	A. I believe so, yes.
11	Q. All right. And here's my problem with this.
12	This is where I'm having a difficult understanding or getting
13	my head around this.
14	A. Yes.
15	Q. You do not have geographical boundaries that you
16	can provide for me regarding your service territory, correct?
17	A. Correct.
18	Q. The only thing we can look at are the pipes in
19	the ground to give us an indication of your current service
20	territory, correct?
21	A. Correct.
22	Q. On Exhibit 2, the pipes in the ground are all
23	indicated on the base map, right?
24	A. Yes.
25	Q. So if you've got these four new potential

95

1 customers marked with the orange Xs that could be served by  
 2 the orange lines that would represent new pipes in the  
 3 ground, before those new pipes in the ground are laid, those  
 4 four Xs really aren't in your service territory yet, are  
 5 they?

6 MR. COLE: Object to form.

7 A. Answer?

8 Q. (BY MR. HIEB) Yeah.

9 MR. COLE: Go ahead and answer.

10 A. I believe they would be.

11 Q. (BY MR. HIEB) Well, you could draw -- and I'm  
 12 going to do the drawing this time on Exhibit 2.

13 A. Yes.

14 Q. I'll draw a pink X even further to the west --

15 A. Yes.

16 Q. -- on that same map. If that person came to you  
 17 and said, "I want to hook to your system," and was willing to  
 18 pay the cost of having a line run out there, is that person  
 19 within your service territory?

20 A. Yes.

21 Q. But that person is currently miles away from your  
 22 nearest line, correct?

23 A. Yes.

24 Q. But you would still consider that person to be  
 25 within your service territory?

97

1 A. Well, I can still come out and get them. They'll  
 2 be -- we'll go get them.

3 Q. Right. But that's my point. My point is that --  
 4 let's take the hypothetical closer to the City of Watertown.

5 A. Okay, yes.

6 Q. If at the time the City of Watertown or Watertown  
 7 Municipal Utilities hooks a customer up, you don't have pipes  
 8 within a half a mile of that customer, is that somebody that  
 9 you would claim would be within your service territory?

10 A. A half a mile you said --

11 Q. Yeah.

12 A. -- or a mile? Possibly, yes.

13 Q. Okay. But I guess you understand the problem I  
 14 have with this? How does Watertown Municipal Utilities know  
 15 where at some point you may decide to run pipes and not?

16 A. I --

17 MR. COLE: Object to form. Calls for speculation  
 18 and conjecture.

19 MR. HIEB: Sure.

20 MR. COLE: Go ahead and answer.

21 A. I can appreciate that conundrum because the same  
 22 is true in the reverse.

23 Q. (BY MR. HIEB) Sure. And I mean, when I look at  
 24 it in some cases -- you've heard the testimony from Watertown  
 25 Municipal Utilities' officials who have indicated it's been

96

1 A. I would consider it to be serviceable by our  
 2 territory, yes.

3 Q. Well, serviceable by your territory, or within  
 4 your existing service territory?

5 A. I would say yes to both.

6 Q. Okay. So really it sounds to me like the way the  
 7 term "service territory" is being defined is anybody that you  
 8 are willing to serve?

9 MR. COLE: Object to form.

10 A. And able to serve, yes.

11 Q. (BY MR. HIEB) Okay. So previously when you  
 12 indicated to me that when you were working with your expert  
 13 on determining which customers that are currently hooked up  
 14 by Watertown Municipal Utilities that were hooked up within  
 15 what Sioux believes to be its service territory, I think you  
 16 indicated that you looked a half a mile to a quarter of a  
 17 mile from your existing lines in order to identify that list  
 18 of customers, right?

19 A. Yes.

20 Q. But in the example we've used, we've gone way  
 21 more than a half a mile to a quarter mile from your existing  
 22 lines and you're still indicating those customers would be in  
 23 your service territory, right?

24 A. After the pipe was installed, yes.

25 Q. After the pipe was installed, right?

98

1 the policy of the City of Watertown not to hook up customers  
 2 that are not within the city limits of Watertown, you heard  
 3 that testimony, correct?

4 A. I did.

5 Q. And you've also heard that there have been a  
 6 handful of exceptions testified to over the years, correct?

7 A. Yes.

8 Q. Do you have any reason to disagree with that  
 9 testimony?

10 A. No.

11 Q. All right. So basically the City of Watertown or  
 12 the Watertown Municipal Utilities' policy is that their  
 13 service area is essentially confined to the existing city  
 14 limits of their city. Would that be a fair statement?

15 MR. COLE: Object to form. Go ahead and answer.

16 A. Generally, yes.

17 Q. (BY MR. HIEB) Right. With the handful of  
 18 exceptions where they've agreed to hook somebody up  
 19 understanding that that person was going to seek voluntary  
 20 annexation within a relatively short period of time?

21 A. Yes.

22 Q. Okay.

23 A. Reasonable -- yeah, yes.

24 Q. Right. And to your knowledge, that's been the  
 25 development pattern of the Watertown Municipal Utilities'

99

1 water delivery going back to when Sioux started as far as you  
 2 know?  
 3 A. As far as I know, yes.  
 4 Q. All right. But you'd agree with me that where  
 5 Sioux is concerned, since they don't have any kind of a  
 6 geographical boundary that they can provide me, your service  
 7 area really changes every time you put a new line in the  
 8 ground?  
 9 A. Yes.  
 10 Q. It expands, right?  
 11 A. Yes.  
 12 Q. And under your belief, how does that interact  
 13 with Watertown's city limits at any given time? The -- go  
 14 ahead.  
 15 MR. COLE: Object to form.  
 16 MR. HIEB: Sure.  
 17 A. The question again?  
 18 Q. (BY MR. HIEB) Well, you evidently view Sioux's  
 19 ability to expand its service area to basically be limited  
 20 only by where it's unwilling to run lines, true?  
 21 MR. COLE: Object to form. You can go ahead and  
 22 answer, Heath.  
 23 A. Yes.  
 24 Q. (BY MR. HIEB) All right. So with respect to  
 25 Sioux's willingness to run lines into the City of Watertown

101

1 A. I understand.  
 2 Q. Right. Now --  
 3 A. Much like my pipes in the ground can change.  
 4 Q. Right. And what I'm asking about Sioux's  
 5 policies with respect to the corporate limits of the City of  
 6 Watertown, those corporate limits really have no impact as I  
 7 understand it on where Sioux views its boundaries to be?  
 8 A. Once again, I'd go back to that plateau of  
 9 reasonability.  
 10 Q. Okay. How about other rural suppliers and their  
 11 boundaries, how do those interplay with what Sioux perceives  
 12 its boundaries to be?  
 13 A. There are intermixing. There have been at times  
 14 intermixing of those boundaries.  
 15 Q. Well, let's take Clark --  
 16 A. Yes.  
 17 Q. -- Rural Water. Are you familiar with how Clark  
 18 Rural Water is -- what type of an entity it is?  
 19 A. I couldn't say for sure. I'm assuming it's very  
 20 similar to Sioux.  
 21 Q. A nonprofit?  
 22 A. Correct.  
 23 Q. And as we indicated previously in your  
 24 deposition, there are, to our knowledge, the two of us, --  
 25 A. Yeah.

100

1 past Watertown's existing city limits, I think you indicated  
 2 previously that's something you would consider doing?  
 3 A. Yes.  
 4 Q. So really under your perspective, Sioux's  
 5 territory theoretically includes the entire City of  
 6 Watertown?  
 7 MR. COLE: Object to form. Calls for a legal  
 8 conclusion, speculation, conjecture.  
 9 A. I think there's a plateau of reasonability that  
 10 has to be reached in determining those things.  
 11 Q. (BY MR. HIEB) And what is that? How do we get  
 12 there?  
 13 A. That's a good question.  
 14 Q. I mean, you understand what I'm saying?  
 15 A. I do. Because I can beg --  
 16 Q. Okay.  
 17 A. -- those questions in the reverse, but...  
 18 Q. Sure. And I think in the reverse what's been  
 19 indicated is that the City of Watertown, generally speaking,  
 20 looks at its existing boundaries as being the boundaries of  
 21 where it will provide water with few exceptions?  
 22 A. Corporate limits.  
 23 Q. Correct.  
 24 A. Which are changing.  
 25 Q. Sure, they absolutely can change.

102

1 Q. -- there are two type of entities. There are  
 2 nonprofits which don't have any geographical boundaries  
 3 approved by anyone, and there are water user districts that  
 4 are creatures of the state which have geographical boundaries  
 5 filed with the State of South Dakota, correct?  
 6 A. Correct.  
 7 Q. To the best of your knowledge, Clark is a  
 8 nonprofit without geographical boundaries similar to Sioux?  
 9 A. I believe that is correct.  
 10 Q. Okay. And when I looked at what was provided by  
 11 the association in DEF 396, and we'll mark this as Exhibit 3,  
 12 if you would, Tammy.  
 13 (Exhibit No. 3 was marked.)  
 14 Q. (BY MR. HIEB) Exhibit 3 really sort of expands  
 15 upon what we find in DEF 396, as you indicate it was kind of  
 16 a crude representation of the service territories of the  
 17 various rurals, correct?  
 18 A. There is where different water systems are  
 19 located, yes.  
 20 Q. Right. And when I look at -- what I'll represent  
 21 to you is that the yellow shown on Exhibit 3 is what is  
 22 represented as part of the southern part of Clark Rural Water  
 23 System which butts up against the Sioux system.  
 24 A. Um-huh.  
 25 Q. Do you recognize that?

107

1 you what's been produced as Sioux 580, for example. It's  
 2 called a municipal agreement. Are you familiar with that  
 3 document?

4 **A. I've seen them, yes.**

5 **Q.** And that's a document, it's my understanding,  
 6 that was prepared either by someone at Sioux or on Sioux's  
 7 behalf by someone, correct?

8 **A. Correct.**

9 **Q.** Do you know who put that document together?

10 **A. I am assuming Brenda Anderson.**

11 **Q.** Okay. Do you know if she had worked with Todd  
 12 Boyd on that or not?

13 **A. I don't know.**

14 **Q.** All right. Somebody at Sioux came up with this  
 15 form that we see in Sioux 580, correct?

16 **A. Correct.**

17 **Q.** And one of these was being sent with the list  
 18 that we see, for instance in Sioux 141 and 142 each time  
 19 there were requests to hook up within a three-mile radius of  
 20 Watertown, right?

21 **A. Yes.**

22 **Q.** And as I looked at this, it looked like the  
 23 practice was that Sioux would send the letter like we see on  
 24 Sioux 141 and 142 with a blank municipal agreement like we  
 25 see in Sioux 580 and essentially say to the city, "Here are

109

1 **A. No. No. No, I don't believe that to be the**  
**case.**

2 **Q.** Okay. And then you heard testimony earlier from  
 3 Mr. Heig who was the former manager of the Watertown  
 4 Municipal Utilities, correct? You were here for that  
 5 testimony?

6 **A. Right before lunch or --**

7 **Q.** Correct?

8 **A. Yes. Yes.**

9 **Q.** He testified that when he was the manager, this  
 10 particular process was ongoing between -- you know, coming  
 11 from Sioux each time they wanted to hook someone up within a  
 12 three-mile radius, and that the agreements weren't being  
 13 signed because the city attorney at that time, Mr. Foley,  
 14 simply sent a letter to Sioux saying, "We're not going to  
 15 sign an agreement that says we're going to follow state law."  
 16 Do you remember that testimony?

17 **A. I believe so.**

18 **Q.** Do you have any reason to dispute that's how  
 19 things went down?

20 **A. I have -- I mean --**

21 **Q.** You don't know one way or the other?

22 **A. I have no reason to dispute it.**

23 **Q.** All right. Now it does look like from the  
 24 documents that have been produced at least, whenever Sioux

108

1 the people that want to hook up with us, please let us know  
 2 whether we can hook them up and sign the agreement and send  
 3 it back to us." Is that your understanding of how things  
 4 were working?

5 **A. Yes.**

6 **Q.** And did they continue to work that way for a  
 7 period of time after you became general manager?

8 **A. Yes.**

9 **Q.** Is it Sioux's position, as I understand it in  
 10 this lawsuit, that the state law, which is essentially  
 11 reflected in the letter and the agreement that we've just  
 12 talked about, doesn't apply because of the federal law? Do  
 13 you know why it is that Sioux was going this route?

14 **A. I believe just as common practice from when that**  
**state law was passed.**

16 **Q.** Okay. It was just something that somebody at  
 17 Sioux, to the best of your knowledge, figured this is one way  
 18 to do it?

19 **A. Yes.**

20 **Q.** But you would agree with me that these documents  
 21 that I've just shown you, those all were generated by Sioux  
 22 each time, correct?

23 **A. I believe so, yes.**

24 **Q.** It was not the City of Watertown or Watertown  
 25 Municipal Utilities creating these documents?

110

1 would send this list of potential hookups to the city, the  
 2 city would essentially write something on the letter and then  
 3 send it back?

4 **A. Yes.**

5 **Q.** And with virtually very few exceptions, their  
 6 response was always go ahead and hook them up, we aren't  
 7 going to, is that true?

8 **MR. COLE:** Object to form. Go ahead and answer.

9 **A. Would you repeat that again?**

10 **Q. (BY MR. HIEB)** With very few exceptions, the  
 11 response was usually go ahead and hook them up, we aren't  
 12 going to?

13 **A. I believe that to be the case, yes.**

14 **Q.** And the only exceptions to that we've discussed  
 15 in your testimony already here today, correct?

16 **A. Correct.**

17 **Q.** There was a brief holdup on a couple of them in  
 18 the Kittelson addition?

19 **A. Yes.**

20 **Q.** Which you were eventually allowed to hook up,  
 21 right?

22 **A. She still hasn't hooked up.**

23 **Q.** Well, you've been allowed to hook her, I mean --

24 **A. We were granted permission from MU.**

25 **Q.** Right. MU said, "Go ahead and hook her up, we